

Appl. No. 10/613,200  
Examiner: Tran, Mai Huong C, Art Unit 2818  
In response to the Office Action dated June 22, 2004

Date: September 15, 2004  
Attorney Docket No. 10112411

## REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indication of allowable subject matter in claims 2-14, and for acknowledging receipt of the certified copy of the priority document. Responsive to the Office Action mailed on June 22, 2004 in the above-referenced application, Applicant respectfully requests amendment of the above-identified application in the manner identified above and that the patent be granted in view of the arguments presented. No new matter has been added by this amendment.

### Present Status of Application

Claims 1-36 are pending. Claims 15-36 are withdrawn. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sirringhaus et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,723,394). Claims 2-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but are indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In this paper, claim 1 is amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 2. Claim 3 is amended to depend from claim 1. Claims 2 and 15-36 are cancelled.

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in light of the amendments and the remarks contained below.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication in the Office Action that claims 2-14 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As claim 1 has been amended to include all the limitations of allowable claim 2, it is Applicant's belief that this claim is in condition for allowance. Insofar as claims 3-14 depend from claim 2, it is Applicant's belief that these claims are also in condition for allowance.

### Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant thanks the Examiner for returning the initialed form PTO/SB/08A & B submitted to the USPTO on July 3, 2003. However, Applicant notes that the citation C1, a publication authored

Appl. No. 10/613,200  
Examiner: Tran, Mai Huong C, Art Unit 2818  
In response to the Office Action dated June 22, 2004

Date: September 15, 2004  
Attorney Docket No. 10112411

by Sirringhaus et al, was not initialed. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner initial said citation to indicate that the prior art has been reviewed.

Foreign Priority Claim

Applicant thanks the Examiner for acknowledging receipt of the certified copy of the priority document. However, Applicant notes that the check box acknowledging Applicant's claim to foreign priority was left unmarked. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner check said box acknowledging Applicant's claim to foreign priority in the next paper issued.

Conclusion

The Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests so. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 502447. In particular, if this response is not timely filed, then the commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to Deposit Account No. 502447.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Nelson A. Quintero  
Reg. No. 52,143  
Customer No. 34,283  
Telephone: (310) 401-6180

P108946NAQ